

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,166	11/05/2003	Joe P. Said	7450/10 9554	
7590 05/02/2006			EXAMINER	
CHARLES C. VALAUSKAS			STACE, BRENT S	
BANIAK PINE & GANNON Suite 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
150 N. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			2161 DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/702,166	SAID ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brent S. Stace	2161
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		:
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers  9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2003</u> is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2161

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Remarks

1. Claim 1 has been examined. Claim 1 has been rejected. This document is the first Office action on the merits.

## Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:
  - a. Claim 1's preamble cites "An accessible user interface and navigation system and method comprising," however the claim has not system structure nor is it an accessible user interface and navigation method. Instead, the claim is a method for selecting a user interface.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/702,166

Art Unit: 2161

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,934,915 (Rudd et al.).

Claim 1 can be mapped to Rudd as follows: "An accessible user interface and navigation system and method comprising:

- accessing a manageable subset of core features needed by a user with a
  disability to access information; [Rudd, col. 5, lines 15-21 with Rudd, cols. 5-6,
  lines 65-4 with Rudd, col. 8, lines 44-48]
- choosing specific features that are matched to said user's disability; [Rudd, cols.
   5-6, lines 65-4 with Rudd, col. 6, lines 12-15 with Rudd, col. 6, lines 30-33 with
   Rudd, col. 8, lines 44-48] and
- selecting an accessible user interface tailored to said user's disability to allow said user access to information" [Rudd, cols. 5-6, lines 65-4 with Rudd, col. 6, lines 30-40].

Application/Control Number: 10/702,166

Art Unit: 2161

## Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is advised that, although not used in the rejections above, prior art cited on the PTO-892 form and not relied upon is considered materially relevant to the applicant's claimed invention and/or portions of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent S. Stace whose telephone number is 571-272-8372 and fax number is 571-273-8372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am-5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Gaffin can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**Brent Stace** 

Cy

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

ኅ

Page 4